

I'm not robot!



Scholar]Dearing JW, Meyer G, Meyrer G, Communication of innovations: A journey with Ev Rogers. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 2006. [Google Scholar]Dearing JW, Meyer G, Kazmierczak J. Portraying the new: Communication between university innovators and potential users. Science Communication. 1994;16:11–42. [Google Scholar]Dearing JW, Rogers EM. Agenda-setting. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 1996. [Google Scholar]Douthwaite B. Enabling innovation: A practical guide to understanding and fostering technological change. London: Zed Books; 2002. [Google Scholar]Downs A. Up and down with ecology: The issue-attention cycle. Public Interest. 1972;28:38–50. [Google Scholar]Eagly A, Chaiken S. The psychology of attitudes. Orlando, FL: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich; 1993. [Google Scholar]Edmondson AC, Winslow AB, Bohmer RM, Pisano GP. Learning how and learning what: Effects of tacit and codified knowledge on performance improvement following technology adoption. Decision Sciences. 2003;34:197–223. [Google Scholar]Elliott DS, Mihalic S. Issues in disseminating and replicating effective prevention programs. Prevention Science. 2004;4:47–53. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Feder G, Savastano S. Unpublished paper. Development Research Group, The World Bank; 2004. The role of opinion leaders in the diffusion of new knowledge: The case of integrated pest management. [Google Scholar]Fixsen DL, Naoom SF, Blase KA, Friedman RM, Wallace F. Implementation research: A synthesis of the literature. Tampa, FL: University of South Florida, Louis de la Parte Florida Mental Health Institute, The National Implementation Research Network (FMHI Publication #231); 2005. [Google Scholar]Galaskiewicz J, Bielefeld W. Nonprofit organizations in an age of uncertainty. New York, NY: Aldine de Gruyter; 1998. [Google Scholar]Gigerenzer G, Selten R, editors. Bounded rationality: The adaptive toolbox. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press; 2001. [Google Scholar]Green LW, Gottlieb NH, Parcel GS. Diffusion theory extended and applied. In: Ward WB, Lewis FM, editors. Advances in health education and promotion. London: Jessica Kingsley; 1991. [Google Scholar]Green LW, Orleans T, Ottoson JM, Cameron R, Pierce JP, Bettinghaus EP. Inferring strategies for disseminating physical activity policies, programs, and practices from the successes of tobacco control. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2006;31:S66–S81. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Greer AL. The state of the art versus the state of the science: The diffusion of new medical technologies into practice. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care. 1988;4:5–26. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Hiss RG, MacDonald R, Davis WK. Identification of physician educational influentials (EIs) in small community hospitals. Research in Medical Education. 1978;17:283–288. [Google Scholar]Hornik RC. Public health communication: Making sense of contradictory evidence. In: Hornik RC, editor. Public health communication. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum; 2002. [Google Scholar]Howell JM, Higgins CA. Champions of technological innovations. Administrative Sciences Quarterly. 1990;35:317–341. [Google Scholar]Hutchinson J, Huberman M. Knowledge dissemination and use in science and mathematics education: A literature review. Washington, DC: Education and Human Resources Research and Evaluation Division, National Science Foundation; 1993. NSF-93-75. [Google Scholar]Katz E. On conceptualizing media effects. Studies in Communications. 1980;1:119–141. [Google Scholar]Katz E. The characteristics of innovations and the concept of compatibility. Rehovoth Conference on Comprehensive Planning of Agriculture in Developing Countries; Rehovoth, Israel. 1963. Aug. [Google Scholar]Katz E, Levin ML, Hamilton H. Traditions of research on the diffusion of innovation. American Sociological Review. 1963;28:237–252. [Google Scholar]Kerckhoff AC, Back KW, Miller N. Sociometric patterns in hysterical contagion. Sociometry. 1965;28:2–15. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Kelly JA, St Lawrence JS, Diaz YE, Stevenson LY, Hauth AC, Brasfield TL, et al. HIV risk behavior reduction following intervention with key opinion leaders of population: An experimental analysis. American Journal of Public Health. 1991;81:168–171. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Kuhn TS. The structure of scientific revolutions. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press; 1962. [Google Scholar]Lam SSK, Schaubroeck J. A field experiment testing frontline opinion leaders as change agents. Journal of Applied Psychology. 2000;85:987–995. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Lasswell HD. The structure and function of communication in society. In: Bryson L, editor. The communication of ideas: A series of addresses. New York: Harper and Brothers; 1948. [Google Scholar]Lazarsfeld PF, Merton RK. Mass communication, popular taste and organized social action. In: Bryson L, editor. Communication of ideas: A series of addresses. New York: Harper and Brothers; 1948. [Google Scholar]Leonard-Barton D. Implementation as mutual adaptation of technology and organization. Research Policy. 1988;17:251–267. [Google Scholar]Linton R. The study of man. New York: D. Appleton-Century; 1936. [Google Scholar]Lomas J, Enkin M, Anderson GM, Hannah WJ, Vayda E, Singer J. Opinion leaders vs audit and feedback to implement practice guidelines. Journal of the American Medical Association. 1991;265:2202–2207. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Macey SM, Brown MA. Demonstrations as a policy instrument with energy technology examples. Knowledge: Creation, Diffusion, Utilization. 1990;1:219–236. [Google Scholar]Magill K, Rogers EM. Federally-sponsored demonstrations of technological innovations. Knowledge: Creation, Diffusion, Utilization. 1981;3:23–42. [Google Scholar]Manning KC, Bearden WO, Madden TJ. Consumer innovativeness and the adoption process. Journal of Consumer Psychology. 1995;4:329–345. [Google Scholar]Massoud MR, Nielsen GA, Nolan K, Nolan T, Schall MW, Sevin C. IHI Innovation Series white paper. Cambridge, MA: Institute for Healthcare Improvement; 2006. A framework for spread: From local improvements to system-wide change. [Google Scholar]McAnany EG. The diffusion of innovation: Why does it endure? Critical Studies in Mass Communication. 1984;439–442. [Google Scholar]McPartland J, Balfanz R, Legters NE. Scaling up high school reforms: Model specificity and local decision making. In: Schneider B, McDonald S, editors. Scale-up in education. II. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield; 2007. pp. 141–164. [Google Scholar]Merton RK. The Matthew effect in science. Science. 1968;159:56–63. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Merton RK. On the shoulders of giants: A Shandean postscript. New York: The Free Press; 1965. [Google Scholar]Miller RL, Shinn M. Learning from communities: Overcoming difficulties in dissemination of prevention and promotion efforts. American Journal of Community Psychology. 2005;35:169–183. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Moffitt RA. Forecasting the effects of scaling up social programs: An economics perspective. In: Schneider B, McDonald S, editors. Scale-up in education. I. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield; 2007. pp. 173–186. [Google Scholar]Myers S. The demonstration project as a procedure for accelerating application of new technology (Charpie task force report) Washington, DC: Institute of Public Administration; 1978. [Google Scholar]O'Brien DJ, Raedeke A, Hassinger EW. The social networks of leaders in more or less viable communities six years later: A research note. Rural Sociology. 1998;63:109–127. [Google Scholar]Pereles L, Lockyer J, Ryan D, Davis D, Spivak B, Robinson B. The use of the opinion leader in continuing medical education. Medical Teacher. 2003;25:438–441. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Pierce JP, Emery S, Gilpin E. The California tobacco control program: A long-term health communication project. In: Hornik RC, editor. Public health communication. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum; 2002. [Google Scholar]Porter ME, Kramer MR. Philanthropy's new agenda: Creating value. Harvard Business Review. 1999 November–December;:121–130. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Puska P, Koskela K, McAlister A, Mayranen H, Smolander A, Moisio S, et al. Use of lay opinion leaders to promote diffusion of health innovations in a community programme: Lessons learned from the North Karelia project. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 1986;64:437–446. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Rogers EM. Diffusion of innovations. 5. New York: Free Press; 2003. [Google Scholar]Rogers EM. Communication strategies for family planning. New York: The Free Press; 1973. [Google Scholar]Ryan R, Gross N. The diffusion of hybrid seed corn in two Iowa communities. Rural Sociology. 1943;8:15–24. [Google Scholar]Schwartz B. The paradox of choice: Why less is more. New York: HarperCollins; 2004. [Google Scholar]Sen LK. Project on the diffusion of innovations in rural societies. Hyderabad, India: National Institute of Community Development; 1969. Opinion leadership in India: A study of interpersonal communication in eight villages. Research Report 22. [Google Scholar]Song M, Miskel CG. Who are the influentials? A cross-state social network analysis of the reading policy domain. Educational Administration Quarterly. 2005;41:7–48. [Google Scholar]Shadish WR, Cook TD, Campbell DT. Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin; 2003. [Google Scholar]Singhal A, Cody MJ, Rogers EM, Sabido M. Entertainment-education and social change. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum; 2004. [Google Scholar]Sladek RM, Phillips PA, Bond MJ. Implementation science: A role for parallel dual processing models of reasoning? Implementation Science. 2006;1:8. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Soumerai SB, McLaughlin TJ, Gurwitz JH, Guadagnoli E, Hauptman PJ, Borbas C, et al. Effect of local medical opinion leaders on quality of care for acute myocardial infarction: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of the American Medical Association. 1998;279:1358–1363. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Stetler CB, Legro MW, Rycroft-Malone J, Bowman C, Curran G, Guhan M, et al. Role of “external facilitation” in implementation of research findings: A qualitative evaluation of facilitation experiences in the Veterans Health Administration. Implementation Science. 2006;1:1–15. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Strang D, Soule SA. Diffusion in organizations and social movements: From hybrid corn to poison pills. Annual Review of Sociology. 1998;24:265–290. [Google Scholar]Szulanski G. Sticky knowledge. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 2003. [Google Scholar]Thompson GN, Estabrooks CA, Degner LF. Clarifying the concepts in knowledge transfer: A literature review. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 2006;53:691–701. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Tornatzky LG, Klein KJ. Innovation characteristics and adoption-implementation: A meta-analysis of findings. IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management. 1982;EM-29:28–45. [Google Scholar]Turner BJ, Martin GW, Cunningham JA. The effectiveness of demonstrations in disseminating research-based counseling programs. Science Communication. 1998;19:349–365. [Google Scholar]Valente TW, Davis RL. Accelerating the diffusion of innovations using opinion leaders. The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 1999;566:55–67. [Google Scholar]Valente TW, Hoffman BR, Ritt-Olson A, Lichtman K, Johnson CA. Effects of a social-network method for group assignment strategies on peer-led tobacco prevention programs in schools. American Journal of Public Health. 2003;93:1837–1842. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]Von Hippel E. Democratizing innovation. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press; 2005. [Google Scholar]Walker JL. Setting the agenda in the U.S. Senate: A theory of problem selection. British Journal of Political Science. 1977;7:423–445. [Google Scholar]Wallack L, Woodruff K, Dorfman LE, Diaz I. News for a change: An advocate's guide to working with the media. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 1999. [Google Scholar]Weimann G. The influentials: People who influence people. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press; 1994. pp. 29–51. [Google Scholar]Wojciet B. Integrating models of diffusion of innovations: A conceptual framework. Annual Review of Sociology. 2002;28:297–326. [Google Scholar]World Health Organization. HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria, Evidence and Information for Policy. WHO/HIV/SPO/04.01. 2004. An approach to rapid scale-up: Using HIV/AIDS treatment and care as an example. [Google Scholar]Yin RK, Heald KA, Vogel ME. Tinkering with the system. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books; 1977. [Google Scholar]

1. **Introduction**  
This document provides a comprehensive overview of the project's objectives, scope, and methodology. It is intended for the project team and stakeholders.

2. **Objectives**  
The primary objectives of this project are to develop a robust system that meets the requirements of the client and ensures high performance and reliability.

3. **Scope**  
The project scope includes the design, development, testing, and deployment of the system. It also covers the documentation and training of the end-users.

4. **Methodology**  
The project will follow a structured methodology, including requirements gathering, analysis, design, development, testing, and deployment.

5. **Conclusion**  
This document outlines the key aspects of the project and provides a clear roadmap for the team to follow. It is essential for ensuring the project's success.

6. **Appendix**  
The appendix contains additional information, including detailed requirements, technical specifications, and other relevant documents.

7. **References**  
The following references were consulted during the project planning and execution phases.

8. **Disclaimer**  
This document is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is confidential, proprietary, or otherwise subject to legal protection.

9. **Contact Information**  
For more information, please contact the project manager at [email address].

10. **Revision History**  
This section tracks the changes made to the document throughout the project lifecycle.

11. **Approval**  
The project manager and key stakeholders have reviewed and approved this document.

12. **Next Steps**  
The next steps in the project are to begin development and testing of the system components.

13. **Summary**  
This document summarizes the project's goals, scope, and methodology, providing a clear path forward for the team.

14. **Conclusion**  
The project team is committed to delivering a high-quality solution that meets the client's needs and expectations.

15. **Appendix**  
The appendix provides detailed information on the project's requirements and technical specifications.

16. **References**  
The references list the sources used for research and development during the project.

17. **Disclaimer**  
This document is confidential and should be handled accordingly.

18. **Contact Information**  
For further details, please reach out to the project manager.

19. **Revision History**  
The revision history tracks all changes made to the document.

20. **Approval**  
The document has been reviewed and approved by the project team.

21. **Next Steps**  
The next steps involve the implementation and testing of the project.

22. **Summary**  
This document provides a summary of the project's key elements and objectives.

23. **Conclusion**  
The project team is confident in the ability to deliver a successful outcome.

24. **Appendix**  
The appendix contains supplementary information related to the project.

25. **References**  
The references provide a list of sources used in the project's development.

26. **Disclaimer**  
This document is confidential and should be kept secure.

27. **Contact Information**  
For more information, please contact the project manager.

28. **Revision History**  
The revision history tracks all updates to the document.

29. **Approval**  
The document has been approved by the project team.

30. **Next Steps**  
The next steps are to proceed with the development and testing phases.

31. **Summary**  
This document summarizes the project's goals and methodology.

32. **Conclusion**  
The project team is committed to achieving the project's objectives.

33. **Appendix**  
The appendix provides additional details on the project's requirements.

34. **References**  
The references list the sources used for the project's research.

35. **Disclaimer**  
This document is confidential and should be handled with care.

36. **Contact Information**  
For more information, please contact the project manager.

37. **Revision History**  
The revision history tracks all changes to the document.

38. **Approval**  
The document has been reviewed and approved by the project team.

39. **Next Steps**  
The next steps involve the implementation and testing of the system.

40. **Summary**  
This document provides a summary of the project's key elements.

41. **Conclusion**  
The project team is confident in the ability to deliver a successful outcome.

42. **Appendix**  
The appendix contains supplementary information related to the project.

43. **References**  
The references provide a list of sources used in the project's development.

44. **Disclaimer**  
This document is confidential and should be kept secure.

45. **Contact Information**  
For more information, please contact the project manager.

46. **Revision History**  
The revision history tracks all updates to the document.

47. **Approval**  
The document has been approved by the project team.

48. **Next Steps**  
The next steps are to proceed with the development and testing phases.

49. **Summary**  
This document summarizes the project's goals and methodology.

50. **Conclusion**  
The project team is committed to achieving the project's objectives.

51. **Appendix**  
The appendix provides additional details on the project's requirements.

52. **References**  
The references list the sources used for the project's research.

53. **Disclaimer**  
This document is confidential and should be handled with care.

54. **Contact Information**  
For more information, please contact the project manager.

55. **Revision History**  
The revision history tracks all changes to the document.

56. **Approval**  
The document has been reviewed and approved by the project team.

57. **Next Steps**  
The next steps involve the implementation and testing of the system.

58. **Summary**  
This document provides a summary of the project's key elements.

59. **Conclusion**  
The project team is confident in the ability to deliver a successful outcome.

60. **Appendix**  
The appendix contains supplementary information related to the project.

61. **References**  
The references provide a list of sources used in the project's development.

62. **Disclaimer**  
This document is confidential and should be kept secure.

63. **Contact Information**  
For more information, please contact the project manager.

64. **Revision History**  
The revision history tracks all updates to the document.

65. **Approval**  
The document has been approved by the project team.

66. **Next Steps**  
The next steps are to proceed with the development and testing phases.

67. **Summary**  
This document summarizes the project's goals and methodology.

68. **Conclusion**  
The project team is committed to achieving the project's objectives.

69. **Appendix**  
The appendix provides additional details on the project's requirements.

70. **References**  
The references list the sources used for the project's research.

71. **Disclaimer**  
This document is confidential and should be handled with care.

72. **Contact Information**  
For more information, please contact the project manager.

73. **Revision History**  
The revision history tracks all changes to the document.

74. **Approval**  
The document has been reviewed and approved by the project team.

75. **Next Steps**  
The next steps involve the implementation and testing of the system.

76. **Summary**  
This document provides a summary of the project's key elements.

77. **Conclusion**  
The project team is confident in the ability to deliver a successful outcome.

78. **Appendix**  
The appendix contains supplementary information related to the project.

79. **References**  
The references provide a list of sources used in the project's development.

80. **Disclaimer**  
This document is confidential and should be kept secure.

81. **Contact Information**  
For more information, please contact the project manager.

82. **Revision History**  
The revision history tracks all updates to the document.

83. **Approval**  
The document has been approved by the project team.

84. **Next Steps**  
The next steps are to proceed with the development and testing phases.

85. **Summary**  
This document summarizes the project's goals and methodology.

86. **Conclusion**  
The project team is committed to achieving the project's objectives.

87. **Appendix**  
The appendix provides additional details on the project's requirements.

88. **References**  
The references list the sources used for the project's research.

89. **Disclaimer**  
This document is confidential and should be handled with care.

90. **Contact Information**  
For more information, please contact the project manager.